## CUSC Alternative and Workgroup Vote

**CMP447: Removal of designated strategic works from cancellation charges/securitisation**

**Please note:** To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

**Stage 1 - Alternative Vote**

If Workgroup Alternative Requests have been made, vote on whether they should become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACMs).

**Stage 2 - Workgroup Vote**

2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

**Terms used in this document**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Term | Meaning |
| Baseline | The current CUSC (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made) |
| Original | The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification |
| WACM | Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification (an Alternative Solution which has been developed by the Workgroup) |

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:**

1. *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and by this licence\*;*
2. *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
3. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*\*; and*
4. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

*\* See Electricity System Operator Licence*

*\*\*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (iii) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.*

**Workgroup Vote**

**Stage 1 – Alternative Vote**

Vote on Workgroup Alternative Requests to become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications.

*The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.*

*Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution may better facilitate the CUSC objectives* *than the Original proposal then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative CUSC modification (WACM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.*

“Y” = Yes

“N” = No

“-“ = Neutral (Stage 2 only)

“Abstain”

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Workgroup Member | Alternative 1 (Nadara | Bluefloat Energy Partnership, Strategic Alignment with CSNP) |
| Alan Kelly / Varun Mittal |  |
| Als Scrope / Emanuele Dentis |  |
| Barney Cowin / Amy Parry |  |
| Calum Duff / E Lian Diong |  |
| Charles Yates / Michael Holmes |  |
| Ciaran Fitzgerald / Rosemary McInness |  |
| Damian Clough / Garth Graham |  |
| Dennis Gowland / Chris White |  |
| Glenn Smith / Binoy Dharsi |  |
| Harvey Takhar / Paul Mott |  |
| Joe Colebrook |  |
| Kirsty Dawson / Adanna Ugo-okoye |  |
| Kyle Murchie / Catherine Cleary |  |
| Lenka Peskova / Anthony Dicicco |  |
| Mark McCabe / Glyn Jones |  |
| Matthew Dowds / Mark Scott |  |
| Neil Bennett / Greg Stevenson |  |
| Patrick Smart / Chanura Wijeratne |  |
| Paul Youngman / Nina Sanghera |  |
| Richard Blanchfield / Leon Notkevich |  |
| Sam Aitchison / Robin Prince |  |
| Tim Ellingham / Andrew Allan |  |
| WACM? |  |

**Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives**

To assess the original and WACMs against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

ACO = Applicable CUSC Objective

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Workgroup Member | Better facilitates ACO (i) | Better facilitates ACO (ii) | Better facilitates ACO (iii) | Better facilitates ACO (iv) | Better facilitates ACO (v) | Overall (Y/N) |
|  | Name – Company | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement:  [Please include as much rationale as possible to support your assessment against the Applicable Objectives] | | | | | | |

Of the X votes, how many voters said this option was better than the Baseline.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Option | Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline |
| Original |  |
| WACM1 |  |
| WACM2 |  |
| etc |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Stage 2b – Workgroup Vote**

Which option is the best? (Baseline, Proposer solution (Original Proposal), WACM1 or WACM2)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Workgroup Member | Company | Industry Sector | BEST Option? | Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |